UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

KATHLEEN MILLER,

Plaintiff

V.

VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,

Defendant

O 5 - 3 0 1 1 7 - KPN

FILING FEE PAID:

RECEIPT # 305942 AMOUNT \$ 250.00 BY DPTY CLK MLL.

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

Parties

- The Plaintiff, Kathleen Miller, is a natural person currently residing at 17 Lorita Lane,
 Northfield, Franklin County, Massachusetts.
- 2. The Defendant, Verizon Communications, Inc., is a corporation with a place of business at 295 Worthington Street, Springfield, Hampden County, Massachusetts.

Jurisdiction

3. This Court has jurisdiction over the Plaintiff's claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1332 and otherwise. The amount in controversy is in excess of \$75,000.00. There are federal law claims set forth herein.

Facts

4. The Plaintiff, Kathleen Miller, began her employment with the Defendant on or about June of 1998 as a full-time employee under the job title of customer service representative.

Page 2 of 7

- Over the course of her employment, the Plaintiff has always performed her job responsibilities well and received increases in wages.
- 6. The Plaintiff was diagnosed with diabetes in or about February of 2000 and she informed the Defendant of her diagnosis.
- 7. In or about February of 2000, the Plaintiff requested a FMLA leave to receive medical treatment for her diabetes.
- 8. Upon the Plaintiff's return from FMLA leave, the Plaintiff requested a modified work schedule as a reasonable accommodation with regard to her symptoms, treatment for referenced medical condition and some time off from work for further medical treatment.
- 9. The Plaintiff was wrongly and illegally denied her requests for reasonable accommodation as a qualified handicapped person, in violation of state and federal law.
- 10. The Plaintiff believes that she could have performed the essential functions of her job with some reasonable accommodation for her handicap.
- 11. The Plaintiff also attempted to use her personal and vacation days and her request was denied.
- 12. The Defendant's handbooks appear to provide for time off for personal and/or medical reasons.
- 13. The management of the Defendant made statements that led the Plaintiff to believe that her requests for leave for her handicap and disability upset them.
- 14. The Plaintiff believes that she was retaliated against based on her handicap and disability and for taking leave for her handicap and disability.
- 15. Instead of receiving reasonable accommodations, the Plaintiff's employment was terminated.

16. The Plaintiff believes that the Defendant's conduct is in violation of Massachusetts

General Laws Chapter 151B, the Americans with Disabilities Act and the Rehabilitation

Act of 1973. The Plaintiff has satisfied the prerequisites to filing suit.

Count I (MG.L. c. 151B – Handicap Discrimination)

- 17. The Plaintiff incorporates herein the previous allegations set forth in this Complaint.
- 18. The Plaintiff's employment with the Defendant was terminated, and her employment with the Defendant was otherwise adversely affected, based upon the Plaintiff's handicap and disability.
- 19. The Plaintiff was a qualified handicapped person under the law and was denied reasonable accommodation in violation of M.G.L.c. 151B.
- 20. The Plaintiff was retaliated against based on her disability and handicap.
 WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Kathleen Miller, respectfully requests a judgment against the Defendant and for all damages available pursuant to M.G.L. c. 151B.

<u>Count II</u> (M.G.L. c. 151B –Handicap Retaliation)

- 21. The plaintiff incorporates herein the previous allegations set forth in this Complaint.
- 22. The Plaintiff's employment with the Defendant was terminated, and her employment with the Defendant was otherwise adversely affected, based upon the Plaintiff's handicap and disability.
- 23. The Plaintiff suffered a pervasive and hostile work environment based upon her handicap and disability.
- 24. The Plaintiff was retaliated against based on her leave and requests for accommodation related to her disability and handicap.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Kathleen Miller, respectfully requests a judgment against the Defendant and for all damages available pursuant to M.G.L. c. 151B.

Count III (Americans With Disabilities Act- 42 U.S.C. § 12101, et. seq.-Discrimination)

- 25. The Plaintiff incorporates herein the previous allegations set forth in this Complaint.
- 26. The Plaintiff was treated adversely and terminated because of her disability and handicap and for requesting a reasonable accommodation.
- 27. The Defendant failed to follow the requirements of the Americans With Disabilities Act.
- 28. The Plaintiff requested to take leave for her medical condition and/or handicap and the Defendant took adverse action against the Plaintiff for taking such leave.
- 29. The Plaintiff requested a reasonable accommodation for her handicap and medical condition and was denied that reasonable accommodation.
- 30. The Plaintiff's employment with the Defendant was terminated, and her employment with the Defendant was otherwise adversely affected based upon the Plaintiff's handicap and medical condition.
- 31. The Plaintiff is a qualified handicapped person.
- 32. The Plaintiff was severely and adversely affected by the Defendant's conduct and the failure of the Defendant to take reasonable steps to ensure that this discriminatory conduct would not occur and/or continue.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Kathleen Miller, respectfully requests a judgment against the Defendant and for all damages available pursuant to the Americans With Disabilities Act and otherwise.

Count IV (Americans With Disabilities Act- 42 U.S.C. § 12101, et. seq.- Retaliation)

- The Plaintiff incorporates herein the previous allegations set forth in this Complaint. 33.
- The Plaintiff was treated adversely because of her disability and handicap. 34.
- 35. The Defendant failed to follow the requirements of the Americans With Disabilities Act.
- The Plaintiff requested to take leave for her medical condition and/or handicap and the 36. Defendant took adverse action against the Plaintiff for taking such leave.
- 37. The Plaintiff requested a reasonable accommodation for her handicap and medical condition and was denied that reasonable accommodation.
- 38. The Plaintiff's employment with the Defendant was terminated, and her employment with the Defendant was otherwise adversely affected based upon the Plaintiff's handicap and/or medical condition.
- 39. The Plaintiff is a qualified handicapped person.
- The Plaintiff was severely and adversely affected by the Defendant's conduct and the 40. failure of the Defendant to take reasonable steps to ensure that this discriminatory conduct would not occur and/or continue.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Kathleen Miller, respectfully requests a judgment against the Defendant and for all damages available pursuant to the Americans With Disabilities Act and otherwise.

Count V (Rehabilitation Act of 1973–29 U.S.C. § 701 et.seq.—Handicap Discrimination and Retaliation)

- 41. The Plaintiff incorporates herein the previous allegations set forth in this Complaint.
- 42. The Plaintiff was treated adversely because of her disability and handicap.
- 43. The Plaintiff requested to take leave for her medical condition and/or handicap and the Defendant took adverse action against the Plaintiff for taking such leave.
- 44. The Plaintiff requested a reasonable accommodation for her handicap and medical condition and was denied that reasonable accommodation.
- 45. The Plaintiff's employment with the Defendant was terminated, and her employment with the Defendant was otherwise adversely affected based upon the Plaintiff's handicap and/or medical condition.
- 46. The Plaintiff is a qualified handicapped person.
- 47. The Plaintiff was severely and adversely affected by the Defendant's conduct and the failure of the Defendant to take reasonable steps to ensure that this discriminatory conduct would not occur and/or continue.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Kathleen Miller, respectfully requests a judgment against the Defendant and for all damages available pursuant to the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and otherwise.

KATHLEEN MILLER CLAIMS A JURY TRIAL WITH RESPECT TO ALL CLAIMS SO TRIABLE, PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. RULE 38 AND OTHERWISE. Respectfully submitted,

The Plaintiff
KATHLEEN MILLER
By Her Attorney

MICHAELO. SHEA, ESQUIRE

BBO # 555474

Law Office Of Michael O. Shea, P.C.

451 Main Street

Wilbraham, MA 01095

Telephone: (413) 596-8005

Facsimile: (413) 596-8095

Date: May 19, 2005

SJS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

MERCHANIS -

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

DEFENDANTS

Kathleen M	iller		Verizon Communications					
<u> </u>	TIPE IN U.S. PLANTE	F CASES)	County of Reside	Iampden ES ONLY) SE THE LOCATION OF THE				
451 Main S	Snea, Esq. of Michael Street, Wilb	(413)596	Attorneys (If Kn	own)				
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Place an "X	" is O se Box Only)	III. CITI (For Di	ZENSHIP OF P versity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Pl untilf and One Box for De fendant		
1 U.S. Government XI 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)			Citizen of This State 1 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 4 1 of Business h This State					
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citing in Item III)	zenship of Parties	Citizen of Another State 2 2 1 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 5 of Business In Another State					
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Diama (STD)		Citizen Forei	or Subject of a	3 🖽 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □6		
CONTRACT	T III	One Box Only) DRTS						
☐ [10 Insurance				TURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Outpayment Æ Enforcement	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product	315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractic Liability 365 Personal Injury 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability		Agriculture Other Food & Drug Drug Related S eizure of Property 21 USC Liquor Laws	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	400 State Reap portionme: t 410 Antitust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rate: /etc		
Student Loans (Excl. Veteans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Renefite	Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor V chicle	Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending	al 640 650 660	R.R. & Truck Airline Regs. Occupational Safety/Health Other	PROPERTY RICHTS 820 Co pyrights S30 Patent S40 Trademark	460 Deportation		
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract P toduct Liability REAL PROPERTY	L 355 M otor V chicle Product Lizbility 360 Other Personal Injury		710	LABOR Fair Labor Smadards Act Labor/M grat, Relations	SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIW C/DIW W (405 (g))	12 USC 3410 B91 Agricultural Acts B92 Economic Stabilizati n Act B93 Environmental Matters B94 Eaergy Alboation Act B95 Freedom of Information Act		
210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommod ations 444 W elfare 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITION 510 Motions to Vacate Scattence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 De ath Penalty 540 Mandamus & Oth	ONS	Labor/M gmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act Railway Labor Act	[] \$64 SSID TALL YVI			
				Other Labor Litigation Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	□ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS —Third Party	Determinational Access o Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statues 0 890 Other Statutory Actic as		
V ODEGEN (PLAC	E AN "X" IN ONE BO	555 Prison Condition			26 USC 7609			
M t Original □ 2 R	emoved from 3 tate Court	Remanded from CAppellate Court] 4 Reinsta Reopen	ted or 5 (specif	erred from r district y)	Appeal to District Judge from Aggistrate Judgment		
	,=====	and statutes unless a iversity.	.)					
ADA (42 U.S.OVII. REQUESTED IN		Rehabili SIS A CLASS ACTIO			1973 (29 U.S.			
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.	P. 23	ON DEM	AND \$	JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint:		
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY N/A 5/19/05	E(S) instructions):	JUDG E SIGNATURE OF AT			DOCKET NUMBER			
TOR OFFICE USE ONLY			OKNEY OF R	ECORD				
RECEIPT #A	MOUN	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

1.	Title of ca	ıse (nam	e of first party on ea	ch side only)_	Kathleen M:	iller	v.			
			on Communi						-	
2.	Category	in which	the case belongs t	pased upon the	numbered nature of s	uit code lis	ted on the ci	vil cover shee	t (See	
	local rule	40.1(a)(1	1)}-		05 -	20	1 1	7 -		2
		I.	160, 410, 470, R.2	3. REGARDLES	SS OF NATURE OF SUI			L G	1/1	1/
		ii.	195, 368, 400, 440	D, 441-444, 540), 550, 555, 625, 710, 7 850, 890, 892-894, 895	20. 730.	*Also con	nplete AO 120 it, trademark o	or AO 121	CASAS
		lli,	110, 120, 130, 146), 151, 190, 21), 345, 350, 35	0, 230, 240, 245, 290, 3 5, 360, 362, 365, 370, 3	310	•			,4363
		IV.	220, 422, 423, 436), 460, 510, 53	0, 610, 620, 630, 640, (550 66A			:	
	r		690, 810, 861-865	, 870, 871, 875	i, 900.	, ,			: · :	- : -
		v.	150, 152, 153.						. : !	for
3.	Title and n this distric	umber, i t please	if any, of related ca indicate the title ar	ses. (See loca id number of t	I rule 40.1(g)). If more ne first filed case in thi	than one pr s court.	ior related c	ase has been	filed in	
		_ -		_						
4.	Has a prio	r action	between the same	parties and ba	sed on the same claim	ever been f	iled in this o	court?		_
5.	Does the c 28 USC §2	omplain 403)	t in this case questi	on the constit	utionality of an act of c	YES _ ongress aff	NO ecting the pu	X ublic interest?	(See	
	If so, is the	U.S.A. (or an officer, agent	or employee o	f the U.S. a party?	YES [] no	k]		
						YES	NO			
6.	Is this case	e require	d to be heard and o	letermined by	a district court of three	judges pur	suant to title	e 28 USC §228	147	
						YES	NO	K		
7.	Do <u>all</u> of th Massachus 40.1(d)).	e parties setts ("g	in this action, exc overnmental agenc	duding governies"), residing	mental agencies of the g in Massachusetts res	united state	es and the C ame division	ommonwealti n? - (See Loc	o of al Rule	
	A	.	lf yes, in which div	ision do <u>all</u> of	the non-governmental	YES X	NO			
			Eastern Division		Central Division		Wester	n Division	$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix}$	
	8		lf no, in which divis	sion do the ma y in Massachu	jority of the plaintiffs o	or the only p	arties, exclu	ıding governn	nental	
			Eastern Division		Central Division		Wester	n Division		
8.	if filing a No yes, submit	otice of F a separ	Removal - are there ate sheet identifyin	any motions p g the motions)	ending in the state cou	rt requiring	the attention	n of this Cour	t? (If	
(DI EAS	E TVDC OD .	70.14.m				YES [NO	x		
	E TYPE OR I	•	ichaol o	a b						
ADDRE	SS AF	1 M ~ ·	ichael O.	<u>snea</u>						
TEI EN	00 <u>** 3</u>	<u>. ма.</u> (412	<u> 1n Street</u>) 596-800	<u>Wilbr</u>	aham, MA 01	095				
(CLEP)	TUNE NO	, 113	1 230-800	5						